

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/841,007	04/25/2001	Jac Kyung Lee	P-220	4930	
34610 FLESHNER &	7590 02/20/2007 KIM LLP		EXAMINER		
FLESHNER & KIM, LLP P.O. BOX 221200			BELIVEAU, SCOTT E		
CHANTILLY, VA 20153			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2623		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/20/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	••
09/841,007	LEE, JAE KYUNG	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Scott Beliveau	2623	

Boloic the I ming of all Appeal Biloi	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Scott Beliveau	2623	li			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED <u>07 February 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In						
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN						
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	06.07(f).					
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>13 November 2006</u>. A     of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a     appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repl</li> </ol>	)), or any extension thereof (37 CFI	R 41.37(e)), to avoid	dismissal of the			
AMENDMENTS  3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered b	0001100			
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	• •	ected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).  5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Rejection of claims 21 and 22 under 112 1 <sup>st</sup> paragraph.						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the						
non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	☐ will not be entered or b) ☒ wil	I he entered and an a	volanation of			
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	vided below or appended.		Apianation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4-19,23 and 31-33</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	at before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a			
10. 🗌 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	ut does NOT place the application ir	n condition for allowar	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
13.						
		ABL				
		Scott Beliveau Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2623				
		<del>-</del> -				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)